WP(C) No. 196 of 2001

1

ITEM NO.71 COURT NO.3 SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA Nos. 94 & 96 in WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 196 OF 2001

PEOPLE'S UNION FOR CIVIL LIBERTIES Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For directions and report submitted by Commissioner and Special Commissioner of Supreme Court directed to be treated as IA vide order dated 27.01.2010)

[REGARDING STATES OF MAHARASHTRA, ASSAM, PUNJAB, HARYANA UTTARAKHAND, CHHATTISGARH, GUJARAT, NAGALAND, GOA, TRIPURA, SIKKIM, JAMMU & KASHMIR AND KERALA]
WITH IA NO. 82/2008 in WP (C) No. 196/2001
[PEOPLE'S UNION FOR CIVIL LIBERTIES V. UNION OF INDIA & ORS.]
[FOR DIRECTIONS]

Date: 27/02/2012 These Applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Amey Shukla, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.

Mr. Naushad Ahmad Khan, Adv.

Mr. Jaward Hussain Khan, Adv.

Mr. R.C. Kaushik, Adv.

For Respondent(s) For FCI	Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv.
For MCD	Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Adv. Mr. Ujjal Banerjee, Adv. Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.
For NDMC WP(C) No. 196 of 2001	Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Adv.
	Mr. Ujjal Banerjee, Adv. Ms. Charu Ambwani, Adv. Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv2/-
For DDA	Mr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv. For M/s. Saharya & Co., Advs.
FOR THE STATES OF Andhra Pradesh	Ms. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv. Mr. Mayur R. Shah, Adv. Ms. Savita Dhanda, Adv. Mr. Amit K. Nain, Adv. Ms. Suchitra H., Adv.
Arunachal Pradesh	Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
Assam	Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv. Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv. For M/s. Corporate Law Group, Advs.
Bihar	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
Chhatisgarh	Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv. Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.
Goa	Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.
Gujarat	Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv. Mr. Rojalin Pradhan, Adv.
Haryana	Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG. Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

Himachal Pradesh	Mr. Naresh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Jammu & Kashmir	Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv. Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv. Mr. Krishanu Adhikary, Adv. Ms. Vernika Tomar, Adv.
Jharkhand	Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.
Karnataka	Mr V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.
Kerala	Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.
Madhya Pradesh WP(C) No. 196 of 2001	Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, Adv.
	Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.
Maharashtra	Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde, Adv. Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.
Manipur	Mr. Khwairkpam Nobin Singh, Adv. Mr. Sapan Biswajit Meitei, Adv. Mr. Tech Poto, Adv.
Meghalaya	Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv. Ms. Garima Bose, Adv.
Mizoram	Mr. Pragyan P. Sharma, Adv. Mr. Rupesh Gupta, Adv. Ms. Mandakini Sharma, Adv. Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv. Mr. Gautam Dhamija, Adv. Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv. Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.
Nagaland	Mr. Edward Belho, Adv. Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Nimshim Vashum, Adv. Mr. P. Athuimei R. Naga, Adv. Mr. Khusisato Inolu, Adv.
N.C.T. of Delhi	Mr. Mohan Parsaran, ASG.

	Mr. D.L. Chidanand, Adv.
	Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
	Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
	Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
	Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
	Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
	Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.
	Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Orissa	Mr. Suresh Chandra Tripathy, Adv.
	Mr. Dilip Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Punjab	Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
r arr jab	Mr. R.K. Pandey, Adv.
	Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Adv.
	Mr. Mohit Mudgil, Adv.
	Mr. Vijendra Kumar, Adv.
	Mr. Shaikh Chand Saheb, Adv.
Rajasthan	Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG.
	Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Singh, Adv.
HD (G) N = 100 = 5 0001	Mr. Irshad Ahmed, Adv.
WP(C) No. 196 of 2001	4
	4
C i lala i m	Ma June Mathur Jala
Sikkim	Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
	Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
	For M./s. Arputham, Aruna & Co., Advs.
Tamil Nadu	Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.
	4/-
Tripura	
IIIpula	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
	mr. Riedra biswas, hav.
Uttarakhand	Mr. Rahul Verma, Adv.
	Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.
	•
Uttar Pradesh	Mr. Pramod Swarup, Sr. Adv.
	Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Adv.
	Mr. Rajeev Dubey, Adv.
	Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, Adv.
West Bengal	Mr. Soumitra G. Chaudhuri, Adv.
	Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

Ms. Sampa Sengupta Ray, Adv.

Mr. Piyush, Adv.

FOR THE UNION TERRITORIES

Andaman & Nicobar Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Chandigarh Mr. Manpreet Singh Doabia, Adv.

Ms. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, Adv.

Dadra & Nagar Haveli Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Daman & Diu Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Lakshadweep Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Puduchery Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.

Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. T.V. George, Adv. (NP)

WP(C) No. 196 of 2001

5

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv. (NP)

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv. (NP)

...5/-

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv. (NP)

Mr. K.V. Mohan, Adv. (NP)

```
Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv. (NP)
```

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv. (NP)

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv. (NP)

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, Adv.(NP)

Mr. S. Thananjayan, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.(NP)

Ms. Anjana Chandrashekar, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Adv. (NP)

Mr. G. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.(NP)

WP(C) No. 196 of 2001

6

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  $\mbox{\footnotemark}$  O R D E R

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, learned Senior Counsel

appearing for the petitioner has placed before

6 of 10

this Inspection court а report of Joint of Homeless Shelters in the States of Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal and Karnataka. The Reports regarding remaining States would be submitted within two weeks from today.

A number of deficiencies have been pointed

out in the functioning of the shelter homes which

were jointly inspected by the parties. The States

and the Union Territories are directed to rectify

those deficiencies as expeditiously as possible.

Mr. Gonsalves has also pointed out some fundamental shortcomings in the night shelters provided by some States. This Court has repeatedly mentioned in a number of orders that basic facilities must be provided in the night shelters. It must be the endeavour of each State to ensure that in compliance of Article 21 of the WP(C) No. 196 of 2001 7

Constitution of India, life of homeless people must be properly protected and preserved.

We are of the opinion that proper Rules and Regulations for monitoring night shelters must be enacted by each State and the Union Territories which would have some statutory force.

Senior appearing Learned Counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Mohan Parasaran, learned Additional Solicitor General other learned and counsel representing the States and Union Territories have agreed that for long terms solution of the problem it is imperative to have proper rules and Regulations.

Mr. Gonsalves, learned Senior Counsel has undertaken to prepare a Draft Manual within one week give the learned Additional and it to and other Solicitor General counsel who may carefully look into it and give their suggestions within four weeks thereafter. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner is granted one week's suggestions time thereafter respond to the to given by the States and Union Territories. It is

 $$\operatorname{further}$$  directed that the learned counsel for the WP(C) No. 196 of 2001

States and the Union Territories are at liberty to involve concerned officials of the Government and other authorities and design shelter homes according to the particular geographical or other requirements State/Union Territory. of each Comprehensive Rules and Regulations be prepared by the various States and the Union Territories.

all direct the States and Union Territories file additional to affidavit giving upto date position regarding night shelters in the respective States and Union Territories. Let it be filed within three weeks with an advance copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

 $\mbox{List the matter on Monday, the 9th April,} \\ 2012.$ 

IA NO. 82

Reply has not been filed by all the States

and Union Territories. We direct concerned States

and Union Territories to file their replies within

three weeks with an advance copy to the learned

counsel for the petitioner who would be at liberty

to file rejoinder, if any, within a week

thereafter.

WP(C) No. 196 of 2001

9

List the application on Monday, the 9th

April, 2012.

[KALYANI GUPTA] COURT MASTER [INDU SATIJA]
COURT MASTER